



Measurement of thermal neutron cross section and resonance integral for the $^{158}\text{Gd}(n, \gamma)^{159}\text{Gd}$ reaction by using a ^{55}Mn monitor



Mustafa Karadag^{a,*}, M. Güray Budak^a, Haluk Yücel^b

^a Gazi University, Gazi Education Faculty, 06500 Teknikokullar-Ankara, Turkey

^b Ankara University, Institute of Nuclear Sciences, 06100 Beşevler-Ankara, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 May 2013

Received in revised form 10 July 2013

Accepted 13 July 2013

Keywords:

Nuclear reaction

Activation method

Cadmium ratio

Thermal neutron cross section

Resonance integral

ABSTRACT

The thermal neutron cross section and the resonance integral for the $^{158}\text{Gd}(n, \gamma)^{159}\text{Gd}$ reaction were measured by the activation method with using the $^{55}\text{Mn}(n, \gamma)^{56}\text{Mn}$ monitor reaction. The analytical grade MnO_2 and Gd_2O_3 were mixed separately with Al_2O_3 powder to reduce both thermal and epithermal neutron self-shielding effects. Then, they were irradiated with and without a cadmium shield box in an isotropic neutron field provided by three ^{241}Am –Be neutron sources. The induced activities in the samples were measured by a p-type HPGe detector. For the irradiated samples, epithermal neutron spectrum-shape factor (α), correction factors required for thermal and epithermal neutron self-shielding and for the measured γ -rays, self-absorption and true coincidence summing effects were taken into account. The thermal neutron cross section was measured to be 2.15 ± 0.14 b for the $^{158}\text{Gd}(n, \gamma)^{159}\text{Gd}$ reaction. The previously reported experimental data for the thermal neutron cross section are distributed from 0.9 to 3.89 b. According to the definition of Cd cut-off energy at 0.55 eV, the resonance integral cross section was measured as 75.3 ± 7.0 b. The present resonance integral value agrees with some previously reported experimental and evaluated values for the $^{158}\text{Gd}(n, \gamma)^{159}\text{Gd}$ reaction, e.g. 80 b measured by Van Der Linden et al. (1974), 72.06 b evaluated by JENDL-4.0 (Shibata et al., 2011), 73 b reported by Mughabghab (2006) and Holden (1999).

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Gadolinium-159 (^{159}Gd) is a beta emitting therapeutic radionuclide with half-life of 18.479 h that emits a beta with maximum energy of 970.5 keV and a few gamma radiations (its main γ -ray energy: 363.543 keV), and delivers a higher radiation dose rate suitable for therapeutic applications in nuclear medicine, such as alleviating bone pain due to metastases, synovectomy, and tumor therapy (Soares et al., 2010, 2011). ^{159}Gd can be produced via the $^{158}\text{Gd}(n, \gamma)^{159}\text{Gd}$ reaction by thermal and epithermal neutrons irradiations of the target isotope ^{158}Gd , which is one of the six stable isotopes of the rare-earth gadolinium element. Hence, the thermal neutron cross section (σ_0) and the resonance integral cross section (I_0) of the $^{158}\text{Gd}(n, \gamma)^{159}\text{Gd}$ reaction are great importance not only for therapeutic radioisotope production of ^{159}Gd but also for studies concerning interactions of neutrons with matter and other fundamental nuclear research.

In surveying of literature the existing experimental values for σ_0 are 2.44 b by Heft (1978), 2.78 b by Mangal and Gill (1963), 3.89 b by Lyon (1960), 1.1 b by Butement (1949) and 0.9 b by Seren et al. (1947). Therefore, the discrepancies among them are up to

333%. Similarly, evaluated data for σ_0 range from 1.5 to 3.5 b. For the resonance integral value I_0 , experimental values existed in the literature are 94 b by Heft (1978), 127 b by Steinnes (1975), 80 b by Van Der Linden et al. (1974) and 84 b by Steinnes (1972). The discrepancies among these values are up to 59%. Also, the evaluated data for I_0 are distributed from 60.5 to 97.9 b. It seems that there has not been a sufficient consistency among σ_0 or I_0 values. However, the most recent experimental study to determine σ_0 and I_0 values was performed by Heft (1978) in 1978. Since then, a new experimental work for determination of them has not been performed with the state-of-the-art modern neutron metrology (EXFOR, 2013). Therefore, the aim of the present work was to carry out a new experiment with the state-of-the-art modern neutron metrology in order to clarify the existing differences in the σ_0 and I_0 values for the $^{158}\text{Gd}(n, \gamma)^{159}\text{Gd}$ reaction by employing Cd-ratio method with use of the $^{55}\text{Mn}(n, \gamma)^{56}\text{Mn}$ reaction as a single reference monitor. Hence, ^{55}Mn has been considered to be a convenient alternative monitor with good resonance parameters for the resonance integral determination in which most of the resonance captures occur at relatively higher neutron energy region at about 337 eV, which is quite far from $1/\nu$ region. Other advantages of ^{55}Mn as a monitor isotope were explicated previously (i.e., Karadag et al., 2003; Karadag and Yücel, 2004, 2005, 2008; Yücel

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +90 (312) 2028265; fax: +90 (312) 2228483.

E-mail address: mkaradag@gazi.edu.tr (M. Karadag).

and Karadag, 2005; Karadag et al., 2007; Yücel et al., 2007; Karadag, 2013a, 2013b).

2. Experimental

2.1. Samples

In this study, the powders of Gd_2O_3 and MnO_2 having high purities (>99.99%) obtained from Aldrich Inc. were used as samples. In order to minimize errors due to both thermal and epithermal neutron self-shielding effects, they were mixed independently from each other with sufficient amounts of Al_2O_3 powder because Al and O elements both have the lower neutron absorption cross section. The percentages of dilutions were experimentally determined to obtain minimum neutron self-absorptions in the samples. Then, the diluted samples of (3.2% Gd_2O_3 + 96.8% Al_2O_3) and (3.4% MnO_2 + 96.6% Al_2O_3) were filled in small polystyrene tubes with height/diameter ≈ 2 and wall thickness of 1 mm. Ten diluted Gd-samples having each mass of about 0.8 g were individually prepared. Five of them were used for Cd-covered irradiation and the other five samples were used for obtaining bare irradiation data. The same sample preparation procedure was employed for ten Mn-samples.

2.2. Irradiation and activity measurements

The sample irradiations were carried out with and without a cadmium (Cd) box, which is a cylinder box with a 1 mm wall thickness. The irradiator used in this work has an isotropic neutron field provided by three ^{241}Am -Be isotopic neutron sources each of which having 592 GBq activity, immersed in paraffin moderator shielded with lead bricks. The geometrical configuration of the neutron sources and the irradiation holes have been previously described in detail (see Karadag et al., 2003; Yücel and Karadag, 2004; Yücel et al., 2007). The effect of thermal flux depression at the sample irradiation position could be neglected, since the irradiation hole has a very large volume compared to the sample volume. At the sample irradiation position, thermal and epithermal neutron fluxes were measured to be $(1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^4$ and $(1.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^3$ - neutrons/cm² s respectively, and the epithermal neutron spectrum shape factor (α) was experimentally determined as 0.083 ± 0.016 in the previous work (Yücel and Karadag, 2004). The irradiation position was the same for all samples. The irradiation times for the (n, γ) reactions were chosen about 55–90 h for ^{158}Gd and about 7–13 h for ^{55}Mn yielding enough activity to be measured in the γ -ray counting system. After the irradiations, the suitable waiting-times varying up to 20 min were employed to minimize dead time losses and eliminate the possible contributions of 843.76 keV γ -ray by minor activity of ^{27}Mg (half-life of 9.458 m), which may be produced by the $^{27}Al(n, p)^{27}Mg$ reaction.

The samples were measured by a γ -ray counting system equipped with a coaxial p-type HPGe detector (Canberra GC11021) with a measured relative efficiency of 120.8%, an energy resolution of 1.95 keV, and a peak-to-Compton ratio of 85.7:1 at 1332.5 keV of ^{60}Co . The detector was connected to a digital spectrum analyzer with a full featured 16 K ADC/MCA analyzer based on digital signal processing operating through a Genie-2000 gamma spectroscopy software. The samples were counted at a distance of 10 cm from the detector in order to keep possible true coincidence effects (TCS) at a reasonable low level. For the fixed counting geometry, the gamma detection efficiency for the present HPGe detector was determined using a multinuclide standard in sand matrix (density: 1.7 g cm^{-3}) spiked with ^{241}Am , ^{109}Cd , ^{57}Co , ^{123m}Te , ^{51}Cr , ^{113}Sn , ^{85}Sr , ^{137}Cs , ^{60}Co , and ^{88}Y radionuclides, obtained from Isotope Products Laboratories Inc., traceable to National

Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The counting periods predetermined for each measurement were high enough to ensure good statistical quality of data, so they varied between 2 and 24 h, depending on mainly the activity level of ^{56}Mn or ^{159}Gd products in the samples. Each spectrum was collected in the live-time mode. Dead times were typically less than about 0.1%. Background measurements were subtracted from the sample spectra.

3. Data evaluation procedure

3.1. Thermal neutron cross section

The thermal neutron cross section ($\sigma_{0,Gd}$) for the $^{158}Gd(n, \gamma)^{159}Gd$ reaction was determined relative to the reference value, $\sigma_{0,Mn} = 13.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ b}$ of the $^{55}Mn(n, \gamma)^{56}Mn$ reaction as follows:

$$\sigma_{0,Gd} = \frac{(r - r_{Cd})_{Gd}}{(r - r_{Cd})_{Mn}} \times \left(\frac{G_{th,Mn}}{G_{th,Gd}} \right) \times \left(\frac{g(20^\circ C)_{Mn}}{g(20^\circ C)_{Gd}} \right) \times \sigma_{0,Mn} \quad (1)$$

where Gd denotes the $^{158}Gd(n, \gamma)^{159}Gd$ reaction being investigated and Mn denotes the $^{55}Mn(n, \gamma)^{56}Mn$ monitor reaction. G_{th} is thermal neutron self-shielding factor. Since the Westcott correction factors, $g(20^\circ C)$ are 1.0009 for ^{158}Gd and 1.0003 for ^{55}Mn isotopes (Mughabghab, 2003), these isotopes can be considered a good 1/ ν -law behavior. Since the cadmium transmission factors (F_{Cd}) for ^{55}Mn and ^{158}Gd are unity (El Nimr et al., 1981), they may not be introduced into Eq. (1). The reaction rates per target atom, r and r_{Cd} are for bare and Cd-covered isotope irradiations, respectively. They have been determined for ^{56}Mn and ^{159}Gd isotopes by the following:

$$r = \frac{A_{sp}^- \cdot M \cdot F_g \cdot F_{coi}}{\theta \cdot N_A \cdot \gamma \cdot \epsilon_p} \quad \text{and} \quad r_{Cd} = \frac{A_{sp}^+ \cdot M \cdot F_g \cdot F_{coi}}{\theta \cdot N_A \cdot \gamma \cdot \epsilon_p} \quad (2)$$

with

$$A_{sp}^- \text{ or } A_{sp}^+ = \frac{N_p}{t_m \cdot w \cdot S \cdot D \cdot C} \quad (3)$$

where A_{sp}^- and A_{sp}^+ are specific activities obtained after a bare and Cd-covered isotope irradiations, N_p is the net number of counts under the full-energy peak collected during measuring (live) time t_m , w is weight of irradiated element, S is saturation factor: $S = 1 - e^{-\lambda t_{irr}}$ with decay constant, λ and irradiation time t_{irr} , D is decay factor: $D = e^{-\lambda t_d}$ with decay time t_d , C is measurement factor: $C = (1 - e^{-\lambda t_r})/\lambda t_r$ correcting for decay during the measuring true time t_r , M is atomic weight, θ is isotopic abundance, N_A is the Avogadro's number, γ is absolute gamma ray emission probability, ϵ_p is full-energy peak efficiency, F_g is correction factor for gamma ray attenuation and F_{coi} is true coincidence summing factor.

For gamma ray self-attenuations in the samples of (3.2% Gd_2O_3 + 96.8% Al_2O_3) and (3.4% MnO_2 + 96.6% Al_2O_3), the correction factors (F_g) were calculated at a fixed geometry for a small sample cylinder which is coaxially positioned with the detector. In the calculations of F_g , the two methods were used. In the first one, the simple equation: $F_g = \mu x / (1 - e^{-\mu x})$ was used (ASTM, 2003). Where x is sample thickness (cm) and μ is the linear attenuation coefficient (cm^{-1}), which can be determined easily from the total mass attenuation coefficients, μ/ρ ($\text{cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) taken from the XCOM-Photon Cross Section Database (Berger et al., 2010). In the second one, a commercial software-GESPECOR[®] (Ver. 4.2) was also used to determine F_g factors. Then, the averages of F_g factors were calculated and taken into account in the measurements. True coincidence summing effects (TCS) for the γ -ray counting geometry were also taken into account by using MCNP method built in GESPECOR[®] software (Sima et al., 2001). Gamma ray self-attenuation factors (F_g), true coincidence summing factors (F_{coi}) and other nuclear data used in the analyses are given in Table 1. However, it

Table 1
Some nuclear data used in the analyses.

Nuclear reaction	Westcott factor ^a , g (20 °C)	Cadmium transmission factor ^b , F_{Cd}	Effective resonance energy ^{c-g} , \bar{E}_r (eV)	Isotopic abundance ^d θ (%)	Half-life ^e (h)	The measured gamma-ray			
						Energy ^e (keV)	Emission probability ^e , γ (%)	Self-attenuation factor, F_g	True coincidence summing factor ^f , F_{coi}
$^{55}\text{Mn}(n,\gamma)^{56}\text{Mn}$	1.0003	1.00	468 ± 51	100	2.5789 (1)	846.754 (20)	98.9 (3)	1.012	0.992
$^{158}\text{Gd}(n,\gamma)^{159}\text{Gd}$	1.0009	1.00	49.6 ± 10.4	24.84 (7)	18.479 (4)	363.5430 (18)	11.78 (60)	1.020	1.000

^a Mughabghab (2003).

^b El Nimr et al. (1981).

^c Budak et al. (2011).

^d Tuli (2011).

^e NuDat (Sonzogni, 2012).

^f For a small sample cylinder by using GESPECOR[®] (Ver. 4.2) software (Sima et al., 2001).

^g For $E_{Cd} = 0.55$ eV.

Table 2
The calculated neutron self-shielding factors for diluted MnO_2 and Gd_2O_3 samples.

Dilution of samples used	Thermal neutron self shielding factor, G_{th}	Epithermal neutron self shielding factor, G_{epi}
96.6% Al_2O_3 + 3.4% MnO_2	0.997	0.903
96.8% Al_2O_3 + 3.2% Gd_2O_3	0.921	0.944

is worth noting that gamma ray self-attenuations and true coincidence effects cancelled out each other for the case of Cd-ratios.

Hence, those estimated factors were used specifically in the thermal neutron cross section determination.

3.2. Resonance integral cross section

For the $^{158}\text{Gd}(n,\gamma)^{159}\text{Gd}$ reaction, the resonance integral cross section, $I_0(\alpha)_{Gd}$ depending on the epithermal spectrum shape factor (α) at the irradiation position has been determined relative to $I_0(\alpha)_{Mn}$ of the $^{55}\text{Mn}(n,\gamma)^{56}\text{Mn}$ monitor reaction with using the measured cadmium ratios (R_{Cd}) as follows:

Table 3
Thermal neutron cross section and resonance integral cross section for $^{158}\text{Gd}(n,\gamma)^{159}\text{Gd}$ reaction.

Year	Reference	Thermal neutron cross section, σ_0 (b)	Resonance integral cross section, I_0 (b)	Cadmium cut-off energy, E_{Cd} (eV)	Monitor(s) used
<i>Experimental data</i>					
	This work	2.15 ± 0.14	75.3 ± 7.0	0.55	Mn
1978	Heft (1978)	2.44 ± 0.30	94 ± 8	0.50	Sc, Co, Au, U
1975	Steinnes (1975)	–	127 ± 38	0.50	Au
1974	Van Der Linden et al. (1974)	–	80 ± 4	0.55	Au
1972	Steinnes (1972)	–	84 ± 20	0.50	Au
1963	Mangal and Gill (1963)	2.78 ± 0.50	–	–	Mn
1960	Lyon (1960)	3.89	–	–	Co
1949	Butement (1949)	1.1	–	–	–
1947	Seren et al. (1947)	0.9 ± 0.18	–	–	–
<i>Evaluated/calculated data</i>					
2012	Pritychenko and Mughabghab (2012)	2.203 ± 0.2	68.41 ± 6.65	–	–
2011	ENDF/B-VII.1 (Chadwick et al., 2011)	2.202	68.25	–	–
2011	JENDL-4.0 (Shibata et al., 2011)	2.2007	72.06	–	–
2010	CENDL-3.1 (Ge et al., 2010)	2.49623	63.83	–	–
2010	ROSFOND (2010)	2.202	68.25	–	–
2009	JEFF-3.1.1 (2009)	2.500	63.09	–	–
2006	ENDF/B-VI.8 (2006)	2.0	64.9	–	–
2006	Mughabghab (2006)	2.2 ± 0.2	73 ± 7	–	–
2003	Kolotov and De Corte (2003)	2.26 ± 0.54	67.6 ± 16.2	–	–
2003	Mughabghab (2003)	2.2 ± 0.2	73 ± 7	–	–
2003	De Corte (2003)	2.26 ± 0.54	–	–	–
2002	JENDL-3.3 (Shibata et al., 2002)	2.496	64.0	–	–
1999	Holden (1999)	2.3 ± 0.3	73 ± 7	0.50	–
1994	BROND-2.2 (Blokhin et al., 1994)	1.966	69.39	–	–
1989	De Corte and Simonits (1989)	3.1 ± 1.2	96	–	–
1976	Federova (1976)	–	61.5 ± 7.7	–	–
1975	Schenter (1975)	–	63.192	–	–
1974	IAEA (1974)	3.5 ± 1.0	80	0.50	–
1973	Mughabghab and Garber (1973)	2.5 ± 0.5	61 ± 6	–	–
1973	Lautenbach (1973)	–	62.86	–	–
1972	Clayton (1972)	2.67	97.9	–	–
1972	Rahn (1972)	–	60.5 ± 6.0	–	–
1972	Walker (1972)	–	61.2	–	–
1969	Mughabghab and Chrien (1969)	1.5	72.0	–	–

$$I_0(\alpha)_{Gd} = \left[\frac{(R_{Cd} - 1)_{Mn}}{(R_{Cd} - 1)_{Gd}} \right] \times \left(\frac{\sigma_{0,Gd}}{\sigma_{0,Mn}} \right) \times \left(\frac{g(20^\circ C)_{Gd}}{g(20^\circ C)_{Mn}} \right) \times \left(\frac{G_{epi,Mn}}{G_{epi,Gd}} \right) \times \left(\frac{G_{th,Gd}}{G_{th,Mn}} \right) \times I_0(\alpha)_{Mn} \quad (4)$$

where $\sigma_{0,Gd}$ and $\sigma_{0,Mn}$ are reference values of the thermal neutron cross sections for the $^{158}Gd(n, \gamma)^{159}Gd$ and the $^{55}Mn(n, \gamma)^{56}Mn$ reactions, respectively. After a bare and Cd-covered isotope irradiations, the cadmium ratios R_{Cd} can be easily determined by $R_{Cd} = A_{sp}^-/A_{sp}^+$ using the measured specific activities from Eq. (3) for both ^{56}Mn and ^{159}Gd radioisotopes produced in the samples. Thermal neutron self-shielding factor, G_{th} in Eqs. (1) and (4), and epithermal self-shielding factor, G_{epi} in Eq. (4) were estimated by the simplified procedure (Karadag et al., 2003; Karadag and Yücel, 2004, 2008; Yücel and Karadag, 2005), taking into account the necessary nuclear data such as resonance parameter, absorption, scattering and total microscopic cross-sections which are taken from JENDL-4.0 (Shibata et al., 2011) and ENDF/B-VII.1 (Chadwick et al., 2011) online data libraries. For the case of an isotropic neutron field, the G_{th} and G_{epi} factors for the samples in small right cylinder geometry were given in Table 2.

In Eq. (4), the relationship between $I_0(\alpha)$ and the resonance integral I_0 as tabulated in the literature for both ^{55}Mn and ^{158}Gd is given by (De Corte et al., 1979, 1981):

$$I_0(\alpha) = (1 \text{ eV})^\alpha \left[\frac{I_0 - 0.429g(20^\circ C)\sigma_0}{(\bar{E}_r)^\alpha} + \frac{0.429\sigma_0}{(2\alpha + 1)(E_{Cd})^\alpha} \right] \quad (5)$$

Here, the (1 eV) α term is equal to unity, which originates from the definition of the epithermal neutron flux in a non-ideal $1/E^{1+\alpha}$ distribution (De Corte et al., 1986, 1994). Also, E_{Cd} is the cadmium cut-off energy which is set at 0.55 eV for a small sample in 1 mm Cd box (height/diameter $\cong 2$) according to the definition of EANDC (Goldstein et al., 1961), \bar{E}_r is effective resonance energy (eV), as defined by Ryves (1969) and the term $I_0 - 0.429g(20^\circ C)\sigma_0$ is the reduced resonance integral, i.e. with the $1/v$ tail subtracted. For the $^{55}Mn(n, \gamma)^{56}Mn$ monitor reaction, the $I_0(\alpha)_{Mn}$ in Eq. (4) was calculated simply as about 10.121 b from Eq. (5) using E_r value given in Table 1, $E_{Cd} = 0.55$ eV, the shape factor, $\alpha = 0.083 \pm 0.016$, and the reference values of $I_{0,Mn} = 14.0 \pm 0.3$ b and $\sigma_{0,Mn} = 13.3 \pm 0.1$ b. Finally, $I_0(\alpha)_{Gd}$ obtained from Eq. (4) was converted to $I_{0,Gd}$ tabulated in literature, by using Eq. (5).

Table 5
Typical uncertainty budget for the resonance integral cross section measurement.

Uncertainties due to (x_j)	Relative uncertainty, s_j (%)	Error propagation factor, $Z(x_j)$	Relative uncertainty on the resonance integral value, $s_j \times Z(x_j)$ (%)
α -shape parameter	19.3	0.09	1.74
Cadmium cut-off energy	15	0.04	0.60
Cadmium ratio of ^{56}Mn	0.61	1.07	0.65
Cadmium ratio of ^{159}Gd	1.60	3.41	5.46
Thermal neutron self-shielding factor for Mn sample	0.1	1.00	0.10
Thermal neutron self-shielding factor for Gd sample	0.5	1.00	0.50
Epithermal neutron self-shielding factor for Mn sample	0.2	1.00	0.20
Epithermal neutron self-shielding factor for Gd sample	2	1.00	2.00
Reference resonance integral cross-section of ^{55}Mn	2.14	0.84	1.80
Reference thermal neutron cross section of ^{55}Mn	0.75	0.84	0.63
Reference thermal neutron cross section of ^{158}Gd	6.48	1.00	6.48
$g(20^\circ C)$ – Westcott factor of ^{55}Mn	0.1	0.84	0.08
$g(20^\circ C)$ – Westcott factor of ^{158}Gd	0.1	1.00	0.10
Effective resonance energy of ^{55}Mn	10.9	0.04	0.44
Effective resonance energy of ^{158}Gd	21.0	0.08	1.68
Total uncertainty, S_T (%)			9.31

Table 4
Typical uncertainty budget for the thermal neutron cross section measurement.

Uncertainties due to	Uncertainties (%)	
	$^{158}Gd(n, \gamma)^{159}Gd$	$^{55}Mn(n, \gamma)^{56}Mn$
Peak area uncertainty ^a	0.85	0.40
Detection efficiency	2.55	2.75
Mass of sample	0.01	0.01
Isotopic abundance	0.28	–
Half-life	0.02	0.004
Gamma-ray emission probability	5.1	0.30
Thermal neutron self-shielding factor	0.5	0.1
$g(20^\circ C)$ – Westcott factor	0.1	0.1
Monitor thermal neutron cross section	–	0.75
Total uncertainty	5.79	2.90

^a Peak area uncertainties are based on counting statistics of $\pm 1.65\sigma$.

4. Results and discussion

The obtained results for thermal neutron cross section ($\sigma_{0,Gd}$) and resonance integral cross section ($I_{0,Gd}$) of the $^{158}Gd(n, \gamma)^{159}Gd$ reaction, and earlier experimental and evaluated data existed in the literature were given in Table 3. The final result for thermal neutron cross section is $\sigma_{0,Gd} = 2.15 \pm 0.14$ b, and is smaller than earlier experimental results of 2.44 b (Heft, 1978), 2.78 b (Mangal and Gill, 1963) and 3.89 b (Lyon, 1960) by 13–81%. However, it is greater than about two times the oldest value of 1.1 b by Butemeyer (1949) and of 0.9 b reported by Seren et al. (1947). The present $\sigma_{0,Gd}$ value is close to some evaluated data tabulated by ENDF/B-VII.1 (Chadwick et al., 2011), JENDL-4.0 (Shibata et al., 2011), ROSFOND (2010) and Mughabghab (2006). For the resonance integral cross section, the obtained result is $I_{0,Gd} = 75.3 \pm 7.0$ b by definition of the cadmium cut-off energy as 0.55 eV. The present result for the $I_{0,Gd}$ value agrees within the error limits with experimental data measured by 80 b by Van Der Linden et al. (1974). However, it is quite smaller than the other experimental results of 94 b by Heft (1978), 127 b by Steinnes (1975) and 84 b by Steinnes (1972) as given in Table 3. The evaluated ones in recent years mainly ranged from 63 to 73 b. However, the present $I_{0,Gd}$ value is greater than about 3–16% of them.

The typical experimental uncertainties estimated on the $\sigma_{0,Gd}$ and the $I_{0,Gd}$ values are given in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. The main source of the uncertainty on $\sigma_{0,Gd}$ is due to the photon emission probability of 5.1% for 365.54 keV γ -ray from ^{159}Gd and detection efficiencies of 2.55% at 365.54 keV from ^{159}Gd and of 2.75% at

846.75 keV from ^{56}Mn . Thus, the total uncertainty on $\sigma_{0,\text{Gd}}$ value has been determined to be about 6.48%. For $I_{0,\text{Gd}}$ measurement, using relative uncertainty s_j , error propagation factor $Z(x_j)$ and relative uncertainty $s_j \times Z(x_j)$ for each parameter given in Table 5, the total relative uncertainty, S_T on $I_{0,\text{Gd}}$ value has been estimated to be about 9.31% by the normal error propagation procedure (Karadag and Yücel, 2005). The data obtained with different irradiation and counting times of activation samples were relatively close to each other and differences between the results was within about 1.0%. Hence, a consistency was found among the measured data.

5. Conclusion

In the literature, the experimental values for resonance integral cross section of the $^{158}\text{Gd}(n, \gamma)^{159}\text{Gd}$ reaction are greater than recent evaluated ones and do not show consistency with each other. On the other hand, they were obtained without the benefit of the present day refinements in counting equipment and adequate knowledge of nuclear data such as the accurate neutron cross section data for used monitor, the sufficient information about the formation and decay properties of ^{159}Gd and most importantly, without any information on α -shape factor of epithermal neutron spectrums provided by irradiation sites. The present results were obtained more accurately by using the latest decay data of ^{159}Gd and a suitable monitor ^{55}Mn having favorable resonance properties. Since cadmium ratio method is known as a simple and reliable one among all activation methods, it is employed to determine the resonance integral cross section for the $^{158}\text{Gd}(n, \gamma)^{159}\text{Gd}$ reaction using a single monitor ^{55}Mn .

It is important to note that the present results imply that similar studies by taking the advantage of the state-of-the-art modern neutron metrology should be carried out to re-determine the thermal neutron cross sections and resonance integrals of other isotopes in order to enhance the accuracy and reliability of the nuclear databases in which some neutron cross section data are deprecated or very old.

Acknowledgement

The experiments in this work were carried out in Ankara Nuclear Research and Training Center, Beşevler, Ankara. The authors would like to thank the Directorate of this Center for the permission to use their laboratory facilities.

References

- ASTM E181, 2003. Standard Test Methods for Detector Calibration and Analysis of Radionuclides, Annual Book of Standards, vol. 12.02, 9–28, USA.
- Berger, M.J., Hubbell, J.H., Seltzer, S.M., Chang, J., Coursey, J.S., Sukumar, R., Zucker, D.S., Olsen, K., 2010. XCOM: Photon Cross Section Database (version 1.5), NIST, Gaithersburg, MD. <<http://physics.nist.gov/xcom>>.
- Blokhin, A.I., Ignatyuk, A.V., Manokhin, V.N., Nikolaev, M.N., Pronyaev V.G. (Eds.), 1994. BROND-2.2, Russian Evaluated Neutron Reaction Data Library, IAEA-NDS-90 Rev. 8, IAEA.
- Budak, M.G., Karadag, M., Yücel, H., 2011. Experimental determination of effective resonance energies for $^{158}\text{Gd}(n, \gamma)^{159}\text{Gd}$ and $^{179}\text{Hf}(n, \gamma)^{180\text{m}}\text{Hf}$ reactions. *Ann. Nucl. Energy* 38, 2550–2556.
- Butement, F.D.S., 1949. Radioactive gadolinium and terbium isotopes. *Phys. Rev.* 75, 1276.
- Chadwick, M.B. et al., 2011. ENDF/B-VII.1: Nuclear data for science and technology: cross sections, covariances, fission product yields and decay data. *Nucl. Data Sheets* 112, 2887.
- Clayton, E., 1972. Thermal capture cross sections and resonance integrals for the AEC fission product library, Rep. AAEC-TM-619, Australia Atomic Energy Commission, New South Wales <<http://www-nds.iaea.org/publications/indc/indc-aul-0019.pdf>>.
- De Corte, F., 2003. The updated NAA nuclear data library derived from the Y2K k_0 -database. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* 257 (3), 493–499.
- De Corte, F., Simonits, A., 1989. k_0 measurements and related nuclear data compilation for (n, γ) reactor neutron activation analysis III b: tabulation. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* 133 (1), 43–130.
- De Corte, F., Moens, L., Sordo-el Hammami, K., Simonits, A., Hoste, J., 1979. Modification and generalization of some methods to improve the accuracy of α -determination in the $1/E^{1+\alpha}$ epithermal neutron spectrum. *J. Radioanal. Chem.* 52, 305–316.
- De Corte, F., Sordo-El Hammami, K., Moens, L., Simonits, A., De Wispelaere, A., Hoste, J., 1981. The accuracy and precision of the experimental α -determination in the $1/E^{1+\alpha}$ epithermal reactor-neutron spectrum. *J. Radioanal. Chem.* 62, 209–255.
- De Corte, F., Moens, L., Jovanovic, S., Simonits, A., De Wispelaere, A., 1986. Applicability of the $1/E^{1+\alpha}$ epithermal spectrum representation and the effective resonance energy E_r in NAA. *J. Radioanal. Chem.* 102, 37–57.
- De Corte, F., Bellemans, F., De Neve, P., Simonits, A., 1994. The use of a modified Westcott-formalism in the k_0 – standardization of NAA: the state of affairs. *J. Radioanal. Chem.* 179 (1), 93–103.
- El Nimr, T., De Corte, F., Moens, L., Simonits, A., Hoste, J., 1981. Epicadmium neutron activation analysis (ENAA) based on the k_0 -comparator method. *J. Radioanal. Chem.* 67 (2), 421–435.
- ENDF/B-VI.8, 2006. Evaluated Nuclear Data Library, NNDC, BNL <<http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/endl/b6.8/>>.
- EXFOR, 2013. Experimental nuclear reaction data (Database version of 2013.03.14.) <<http://www-nds.iaea.org/exfor/>>.
- Federova, A.F., Pisanko, J.I., Novosylov, G.M., 1976. Report KIYAI-76-6. Institute Jadernykh Issledovanij, Kiev.
- Ge, Z.G., Zhuang, Y.X., Liu, T.J., Zhang, J.S., Wu, H.C., Zhao, Z.X., Xia, H.H., 2010. The updated version of Chinese evaluated nuclear data library (CENDL-3.1). In: Proc. International Conference on Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, Jeju Island, Korea.
- Goldstein, H., Harvey, J.A., Story, J.S., Westcott, C.H., 1961. Recommended definitions for resonance integral cross-section, EANDC Report. 12.
- Heft, R.E., 1978. A consistent set of nuclear parameter values for absolute INAA. In: Conference on computers in activation analysis and gamma-ray spectroscopy, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, 495.
- Holden, N.E., 1999. Neutron Scattering and Absorption Properties in CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 79th ed. CRC Press, New York.
- IAEA, 1974. Handbook on nuclear activation cross-sections, Technical Reports Series No. 156, IAEA, Vienna <<http://www-nds.iaea.org/publications/tecdocs/technical-reports-series-156.pdf>>.
- JEFF-3.1.1, 2009. The JEFF-3.1.1 Nuclear Data Library, OECD/NEA Data Bank. <http://www.oecd-nea.org/dbforms/data/eva/evatapes/jeff_31/index-JEFF3.1.1.html>.
- Karadag, M., 2013a. Thermal neutron cross section and resonance integral measurements for the $^{133}\text{Cs}(n, \gamma)^{134\text{m}}\text{Cs}$ reaction. *Ann. Nucl. Energy* 62, 178–183.
- Karadag, M., 2013b. Measurement of thermal neutron cross section and resonance integral for $^{148}\text{Nd}(n, \gamma)^{149}\text{Nd}$ reaction by using a ^{55}Mn monitor. *Ann. Nucl. Energy* 62, 274–279.
- Karadag, M., Yücel, H., 2004. Measurement of thermal neutron cross section and resonance integral for $^{186}\text{W}(n, \gamma)^{187}\text{W}$ reaction by the activation method using a single monitor. *Ann. Nucl. Energy* 31 (11), 1285–1297.
- Karadag, M., Yücel, H., 2005. Thermal neutron cross-section and resonance integral for $^{164}\text{Dy}(n, \gamma)^{165}\text{Dy}$ reaction. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* 550 (3), 626–636.
- Karadag, M., Yücel, H., 2008. Measurement of thermal neutron cross section and resonance integral for the $^{174}\text{Yb}(n, \gamma)^{175}\text{Yb}$ reaction by the cadmium ratio method. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B* 266 (11), 2549–2555.
- Karadag, M., Yücel, H., Tan, M., Özmen, A., 2003. Measurement of thermal neutron cross-sections and resonance integrals for $^{71}\text{Ga}(n, \gamma)^{72}\text{Ga}$ and $^{75}\text{As}(n, \gamma)^{76}\text{As}$ by using $^{241}\text{Am-Be}$ neutron source. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* 501 (2–3), 524–535.
- Karadag, M., Yücel, H., Budak, M.G., 2007. Measurement of thermal neutron cross section and resonance integral for (n, γ) reaction in ^{152}Sm . *Ann. Nucl. Energy* 34 (3), 188–193.
- Kolotov, V.P., De Corte, F., 2003. An electronic database with a compilation of k_0 and related data for NAA. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* 257 (3), 501–508.
- Lautenbach, G., 1973. Calculated neutron absorption cross sections of 75 fission products, Report RCN-191.
- Lyon, W.S., 1960. Reactor neutron activation cross sections for a number of elements. *Nucl. Sci. Eng.* 8, 378–380.
- Mangal, S.K., Gill, P.S., 1963. Thermal neutron activation cross-sections for isomer production (II). *Nucl. Phys.* 41, 372–379.
- Mughabghab, S.F., 2003. Thermal neutron capture cross sections, resonance integrals and g-factors, INDC (NDS)-440, BNL, Upton, New York <<http://www-nds.iaea.org/publications/indc/indc-nds-0440.pdf>>.
- Mughabghab, S.F., 2006. Atlas of Neutron Resonances Resonance Parameters and Thermal Cross Sections $Z = 1-100$, fifth ed. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Mughabghab, S.F., Chrien, R.E., 1969. S-wave neutron strength functions of the Gd isotopes. *Phys. Rev.* 180, 1131–1138.
- Mughabghab, S.F., Garber, D.I., 1973. Neutron Cross Sections, vol. 1, Resonance Parameters, BNL-325, third ed., NNDC, USA <http://www-nds.iaea.org/publications/indc/indc_usa_0058L.pdf>.
- Pritychenko, B., Mughabghab, S.F., 2012. Neutron thermal cross sections, Westcott factors, resonance integrals, Maxwellian averaged cross sections and astrophysical reaction rates calculated from the ENDF/B-VII.1, JEFF-3.1.2, JENDL-4.0, ROSFOND-2010, CENDL-3.1 and EAF-2010 evaluated data libraries, NNDC, BNL, USA <<http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.2879v2>>.
- Rahn, F., Camarda, H.S., Hacken, G., Havens, W.W., Liou Jr., H.I., Rainwater, J., Slagowitz, M., Wynchank, S., 1972. Values of the neutron resonance capture integral for some rare earth isotopes. *Nucl. Sci. Eng.* 48, 219–221.

- ROSFOND, 2010. Russian library of evaluated neutron data: 686 materials, Obninsk, Russia <<http://www.ippe.ru/podr/abbn/libr/rosfond.php>>.
- Ryves, T.B., 1969. A new thermal neutron flux convention. *Metrologia* 5, 119.
- Schenter, R.E., 1975. Calculations from ENDF/B-IV Library, Private communications to NEA data bank. Hanford Eng. Development Laboratory, Richland, Wash, USA.
- Seren, L., Friedlander, H.N., Turkel, S.H., 1947. Thermal neutron activation cross sections. *Phys. Rev.* 72 (10), 888–901.
- Shibata, K. et al. (A total of 25 authors), 2002. Japanese evaluated nuclear data library Version 3 Revision-3: JENDL-3.3, *J. Nucl. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 39, pp. 1125.
- Shibata, K., Iwamoto, O., Nakagawa, T., Iwamoto, N., Ichihara, A., Kunieda, S., Chiba, S., Furutaka, K., Otuka, N., Ohsawa, T., Murata, T., Matsunobu, H., Zukeran, A., Kamada, S., Katakura, J., 2011. JENDL-4.0: a new library for nuclear science and engineering. *J. Nucl. Sci. Technol.* 48, 1.
- Sima, O., Arnold, D., Dovlete, C., 2001. GESPECOR – a versatile tool in gamma-ray spectrometry. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* 248 (2), 359–364.
- Soares, D.C.F., Menezes, M.A.B.C., Santos, R.G., Ramaldes, G.A., 2010. ^{159}Gd : preparation and preliminary evaluation as a potential antitumoral radionuclide. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* 284, 315–320.
- Soares, D.F.C., Oliveira, M.C., Barros, A.L.B., Cardoso, V.N., Ramaldes, G.A., 2011. Liposomes radiolabeled with ^{159}Gd : In vitro antitumoral activity, biodistribution study and scintigraphic image in Ehrlich tumor bearing mice. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 43 (4), 290–296.
- Sonzogni, A. (Ed.), 2012. The NuDat2 program for nuclear data on the web, version 2.6 (Nuclear Wallet Cards database version of 6/1/2012), NNDC, BNL, New York. <<http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nudat2/>>.
- Steinnes, E., 1972. Resonance activation integrals of some nuclides of interest in neutron activation analysis. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* 34 (9), 2699–2703.
- Steinnes, E., 1975. Resonance activation integrals of some lanthanide nuclides. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* 37, 1591–1592.
- Tuli, J.K., 2011. Nuclear wallet cards, NNDC, BNL, New York <<http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/wallet/>>.
- Van Der Linden, R., De Corte, F., Hoste, J., 1974. A compilation of infinite dilution resonance integrals-II. *J. Radioanal. Chem.* 20, 695–706.
- Walker, W.H., 1972. Fission product data for thermal reactors, Part I – cross sections, Report AECL-3037, Chalk River Nuclear Laboratory, Ontario <http://www.nds.iaea.org/publications/indc/indc-0602.pdf>.
- Yücel, H., Karadag, M., 2004. Experimental determination of the $-s$ -shape factor in the $1/E^{1+s}$ epithermal-isotopic neutron source-spectrum by dual monitor method. *Ann. Nucl. Energy* 31 (6), 681–695.
- Yücel, H., Karadag, M., 2005. Measurement of thermal neutron cross section and resonance integral for $^{165}\text{Ho}(n, \gamma)^{166\text{m}}\text{Ho}$ reaction by the activation method. *Ann. Nucl. Energy* 32 (1), 1–11.
- Yücel, H., Budak, M.G., Karadag, M., 2007. Measurement of thermal neutron cross section and resonance integral for $^{170}\text{Er}(n, \gamma)^{171}\text{Er}$ by using ^{55}Mn -monitor. *Phys. Rev. C* 76 (3), 034610.